

APPLICATION NO.

10/507,407

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Attorney Docket No. Confirmation No.

F-8383 6889

28107 7590 07/05/2005

JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP
122 EAST 42ND STREET
SUITE 4000
NEW YORK, NY 10168

FILING DATE

09/13/2004

EXAMINER
WILSON, LEE D

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Takayuki Kawakami

	٠

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/507,407	KAWAKAMI, TAKAYUKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEE D. WILSON	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	 s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 6</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	ts have been received. Is have been received in Appliantly documents have been rec	ication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	eived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413) ail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/13/04</u>. 	_	all Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/507,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 5 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following claims are vague, indefinite, confusingly and awkwardly worded:
 - i. "it" has been recited in claims 5 and 9-12. The limitation must positively be recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yonezawa (6095509).

Yonezawa disclose a clamping device having a clamp body (4) with a cylinder bore, a pull rod with tapered portion (12), a collet member (13) with a bottom which is annular collet, a hydraulic cylinder (5), a lower annular cylinder chamber (17) with the upper holding spring (27), and a piston member (20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/507,407 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yonezawa (6095509) in view of Kawakami (6598713).
 - b. Yonezawa is discussed above.
 - c. Yonezawa does not disclose a plurality of springs.
 - d. Kawakami discloses a clamp with a plurality of springs (44&45) being nested within each other which allows a single spring to be replaced by the plurality without require addition space to house the springs.
 - e. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Yonezawa device by replacing the spring with a plurality of springs as taught by Kawakami which allows a single spring to be replaced by the plurality without require addition space to house the springs.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/507,407

Art Unit: 3723

Page 4

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kitaura discloses a device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

June 28, 2005

LEE D. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER